	Case 3:07-cv-00450-LRH-VPC Document 95 Filed 10/29/10 Page 1 of 2
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
8	* * * *
9	ROY ALAN O'GUINN,)
10	Plaintiff,) 3:07-cv-00450-LRH-VPC
11	v.) ORDER
12	NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF) CORRECTIONS, et al.,)
13	Defendants.
14	
15	Before this Court is the Report and Recommendation of U.S. Magistrate Judge Valerie P.
16	Cooke (#85¹) entered on August 26, 2010, recommending granting in part and denying in part
17	Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (#74) filed on April 26, 2010, and that plaintiff's
18	remaining claim for breach of contract be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction, and denying Plaintiff's
19	Motion for Summary Judgment, or in the Alternative Partial Summary Judgment (#75) filed on April
20	26, 2010. Plaintiff filed his Objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation on
21	Plaintiff's and Defendants' Motions for Summary Judgment (#86) on September 13, 2010, and
22	Defendants filed their Response to Plaintiff's Objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report and
23	Recommendation on Plaintiff's and Defendants' Motions for Summary Judgment on October 8, 2010
24	(#90), pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Rule 1B 3-2 of the Rules of Practice of the United
25	

26

¹Refers to court's docket number.

States District Court for the District of Nevada. 1 2 The Court has conducted its *de novo* review in this case, has fully considered the objections 3 of the Plaintiff, the pleadings and memoranda of the parties and other relevant matters of record 4 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b) (1) (B) and Local Rule IB 3-2. The Court determines that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (#85) entered on August 26, 2010, should be adopted 5 and accepted. 6 7 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation 8 (#85) entered on August 26, 2010, is adopted and accepted, and Defendant's Motion for Summary 9 Judgment (#74) is GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN PART, and that plaintiff's remaining claim 10 for breach of contract is DISMISSED for lack of jurisdiction 11 IT IS THEREFORE FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment, 12 or in the Alternative Partial Summary Judgment (#75) is DENIED. 13 IT IS SO ORDERED. 14 DATED this 29th day of October, 2010. Aldriha 15 16 17 LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

26